

## **Sanoma Big Data Migration**

Sander Kieft







#### About me

- Manager Core Services at Sanoma
- Responsible for common services, including the Big Data platfor
- Work:
  - Centralized services
  - Data platform
  - Search
- Like:
  - Work
  - Water(sports)
  - Whiskey
  - Tinkering: Arduino, Raspberry PI, soldering stuff















#### Sanoma, Publishing and Learning company











# 2+100

7

# 30+

200+

# 100

2 Finnish newspapers Over 100 magazines in The Netherland, Belgium and Finland TV channels in Finland and The Netherlands, incl. on demand platforms

Learning applications

Websites

Mobile applications on various mobile platforms

get the world



#### Sanoma, Big Data use cases

- Users of Sanoma's websites, mobile applications, online TV products generate large volumes of data
- We use this data to improve our products for our users and our advertisers
- Use cases:







# < 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015







# In science, one man's noise is another man's signal.

#### -- Edward Ng





# < 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015







## Lake filling



# **Self service**

Photo credits: misternaxal - http://www.flickr.com/photos/misternaxal/2888791930/

#### **Enabling self service**



sanoma get the world

#### **Enabling self service**



get the world

#### Storage growth



# **Keeps filling**





#### Present

# < 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015











#### D2 STORED (NETTO) TA

- E D

D2

n?

1997.40

10-0

191

1997-07-

D2 D2

D2

# 150GB DAILY GROWTH



## DATA PROCESSES

o Do Car

8 8

HE YOLD

BER

17

888

562

COMBUSTIBLE

-

100

Z

1

CONTROLE DES EAUX

Bautone.

20

AJE?

# DAILY HADOOP JOBS



## AVG MONTHLY DASHBOARD USERS

10 m m

스탄물

#### Challenges

#### **Positives**

- Users have been steadily increasing
- Demand for ..
  - more real time processing
  - faster data availability
  - higher availability (SLA)
  - quicker availability of new versions
  - specialized hardware (GPU's/SSD's)
  - quicker experiments (Fail Fast)

#### **Negatives**

- Data Center network support end of life
- Outsourcing of own operations team
- Version upgrades harder to manage
- Poor job isolation between test, dev, prod and interactive, etl and data science workloads
- Higher level of security and access control





## Lake was full

No.

- On Premise
  - New Hardware
- Generic Cloud
  - Provider A
  - Provider B
- Specialized Cloud



- On Premise
  - New Hardware
- Generic Cloud
  - Provider A
  - Provider B
- Specialized Cloud

 Adding data services from cloud provider to the comparison



Storage Compute Services



- On Premise
  - New Hardware
- Generic Cloud
  - Provider A
  - Provider B
- Specialized Cloud

- Adding data services from cloud provider to the comparison
- Replacing portion of processing with Spot Pricing





- **On Premise** 
  - New Hardware
- Generic Cloud
  - Provider A
  - Provider B

37

Specialized Cloud

- Adding data servid provider to the comparison
- Replacing portion of processing with Spot Pricing



#### **Amazon – Instance Buying Options**

On-demand Instances: hourly pricing

- Reserved Instances:
  - Up to 75% cheaper than on-demand pricing
  - 1-3 year commitment
  - (Large) upfront costs; typical breakeven at 50-80% utilization
- Spot Instances:
  - AWS sells excess capacity to highest bidder
  - Hourly commitments at a price you name
  - Can be up to 90% cheaper that on-demand pricing

\$0.239 per Hour

\$0.146 - \$0.078 per Hour

c3.xlarge, 4 vCPU, 7.5 GiB

~ \$0.03 per Hour (> 80% reduction)



### **Amazon - Spot Prices**

- AWS determines market price based on supply and demand
- Instance is allocated to highest bidder
- Bidder pays market price



- Allocated instance is terminated (with a 2 minute warning) when market price increases above your bid price
- Diversification of instance families, instance types, availability zones increases continuity
- Spot Instances can take a while to provision with a different workflow than a traditional on-demand model.
- Guard against termination with adequate pricing, but don't try and prevent it. Automation is key.

#### **Amazon – Spot Pricing**

Туре	Name	EC2 instance type	Count	Request spot	Bid price		
Master	Master instance group -	m3.xlarge •	1			0	
Core	Core instance group - 2	m3.xlarge •	2			0	
Task	Task instance group - 3	m3.xlarge •	4			Availability zone	Price
	Ad	ld task instance group				us-east-1c us-east-1d	\$0.037 \$0.040 \$0.039
						us-east-1e	\$0.057

Via Console or via cli:

aws emr create-cluster --name "Spot cluster" --ami-version 3.3 InstanceGroupType=MASTER, InstanceType=m3.xlarge,InstanceCount=1, InstanceGroupType=CORE, BidPrice=0.03,InstanceType=m3.xlarge,InstanceCount=2 InstanceGroupType=TASK, BidPrice=0.10,InstanceType=m3.xlarge,InstanceCount=3



## **Destination Amazon**



## **Amazon Migration Scenario's**

Three scenario's evaluated for moving



- All services on EC2
- Only source data on S3



- All data on S3
- EMR for Hadoop
- EC2 only for utility services not provided by EMR



- All data on S3
- EMR for Hadoop
- Interactive querying workload is moved to Redshift instead of Hive



## **Amazon Migration Scenario's**

Three scenario's evaluated for moving



- All services on EC2
- Only source data on S3



- All data on S3
- EMR for Hadoop
- EC2 only for utility services not provided by EMR



- All data on S3
- EMR for Hadoop
- Interactive querying workload is moved to
  Redshift instead of Hive

Easier to leverage spot pricing, due to data on S3







#### **Node types**

Basic Cluster

_	1x	MASTER	m4.2xlarge
_	25x	CORE	d2.xlarge
_	40x	TASK	r3.2xlarge

Basic Cluster with spot pricing:

—	1x	MASTER
—	25x	CORE
_	20x	TASK
_	40x	TASK

m4.2xlarge
d2.xlarge
r3.2xlarge (On Demand)
r3 2xlarge (Spot Pricing)



Possible bidding strategies:

- Bid on-demand price
- Diversification of
  - instance families
  - instance types
  - availability zones
  - Bundle and rolling prices
    - 5x 0,01, 5x 0,02, 5x 0,03

#### **Enabling self service – In Amazon**





# Migration





#### **Migration**

- Migration of the data from HDFS to S3 took long time
  - Large volume of data
  - Migration of source data & data warehouse
- Using EMR required more rewrites then initially planned
  - Due to network isolation of EMR Cluster it's harder to initiate jobs from outside the cluster
  - Jobs had to be rewritten to mitigate side effects EMRFS
  - INSERT OVERWRITE TABLE xx AS SELECT xx Has different behavior
- Data formats Hive
  - Hive on EMR doesn't support RC-files
  - Had to convert our Data Warehouse to ORC



#### Learnings

- We're almost done with the migration. Running in parallel now.
- Setup solves our challenges, some still require work
- Missing Cloudera Manager for small config changes and monitoring
- EMR not ideal for long running clusters

#### Bucket structure impacts performance

- Setup access control, human error will occur
- Uploading data takes time. Start early!
  - Check Snowball or new upload service



#### **EMR**

- Check data formats! RC vs ORC/Parquet
- Start jobs from master node
- Break up long running jobs, shorter independent
- Spot pricing & pre-empted nodes /w Spark
- HUE and Zeppelin meta data on RDS
- Research EMR FS behavior for your use case



#### **S3 Bucket Structure**

- Throughput optimization
- S3 automatically partitions based upon key prefix

Bucket: example-hive-data

Object keys:

- warehouse/weblogs/2016-01-01/a.log.bz2
- wa ehouse/advertising/2016-01-01/a.log.bz2
- warehouse/analytics/2016-01-01/a.log.bz2
- warehouse/targeting/2016-01-01/a.log.bz2

#### Partition Key: example-hive-data/w

Bucket: example-hive-data

Object keys:

- weblogs/2016-01-01/a.log.bz2
- advertising/2016-01-01/a.log.bz2
- analytics/2016-01-01/a.log.bz2
- targeting/2016-01-01/a.log.bz2

#### example-hive-data/w Partition Keys: example-hive-data/a example-hive-data/t

## Spot pricing & pre-empted nodes /w Spark



- Spark:
  - Massively parallel
  - Uses DAGs instead of mapreduce for execution
  - Minimizes I/O by storing data in RDDs in memory
  - Partitioning-aware to avoid network-intensive shuffle
  - Ideal for iterative algorithms
- We use spark a lot for Data Science
- ETL Processing slowly moving to Spark too

- Problem with Spark and EMR:
  - No control over the node where Application Master lands
  - Spark Executors are termination resilient, master is not.
- Possible solutions:
  - Run separate cluster for Spark workload
  - Assign node labels, but current implementation is crude and is exclusive



#### Conclusion

- Amazon is a wonderful place to run your Big Data infrastructure
- It's flexible, but costs can grow rapidly
- Many options for cost control available, but might impact architecture
- Take your time testing and validating your setup
  - If you have time, rethink whole setup
  - No time, move as-is first and then start optimizing
- Much faster to iterate your solution when everything is at Amazon then partly AWS/On Premise





# s a n o m a

Thank you! Questions?

Twitter: @skieft